

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS UBER  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND  
OTTOMOTTO LLC'S MOTION FOR  
RELIEF FROM AND EMERGENCY  
MOTION FOR STAY OF NON-  
DISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER  
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT.  
951)**

Trial Date: October 10, 2017

1           Upon consideration of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC's  
2 (collectively, "Defendants") Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for Stay of Non-  
3 Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 951) pursuant to Local Rule 72-2, and  
4 having given Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") an opportunity to respond, the Court hereby  
5 GRANTS Defendants' Motion.

6           [IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Corley's July 19, 2017 Order Re:  
7 Waymo's Motion to Compel is STAYED with respect to Plaintiff's Requests for Production Nos.  
8 30, 98, 99, 110, 145 and Interrogatories Nos. 24, 25 (Dkt. 951 at 1:21-25), pending the Court's  
9 resolution of Uber's Motion for Relief.]

10           IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Corley's July 19, 2017 Order Re:  
11 Waymo's Motion to Compel is VACATED with respect to Plaintiff's Requests for Production  
12 Nos. 30, 98, 99, 110, 145 and Interrogatories Nos. 24, 25 (Dkt. 951 at 1:21-25), and Plaintiff  
13 Waymo's Motion to Compel (Dkt. 879) is DENIED with respect to Plaintiff's Requests for  
14 Production Nos. 30, 98, 99, 110, 145 and Interrogatories Nos. 24, 25.

15  
16           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17  
18 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2017

19           \_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP  
United States District Judge